1	DOUGLAS H. WIGDOR (NY SBN 2609469) JEANNE M. CHRISTENSEN (NY SBN 2622124)		
2	ELIZABETH J. CHEN (NY SBN 5126214)		
3	(All admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) WIGDOR LLP		
4	85 Fifth Avenue		
	New York, NY 10003 Telephone: (212) 257-6800		
5	Facsimile: (212) 257-6845		
6	1 4000000000000000000000000000000000000		
7	JAMIE C. COUCHE (SBN 252001) ANDERSON & POOLE, P.C.		
8	601 California Street, Suite 1300		
	San Francisco, CA 94108		
9	Telephone: (415) 956-6413		
10	Facsimile: (415) 956-6416		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff, JANE DOE		
12	JANE DOE		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14			
15	JANE DOE,	Case No.: 3:17-cv-03470	
16	Plaintiff,		
17		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
17	vs.	ORDER	
18	AND THE CONTROL OF COMES AND COMES A		
19	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., TRAVIS		
19	KALANICK, in his personal and professional capacities and ERIC ALEXANDER, in his		
20	personal and professional capacities,		
21	personal and projessional capacities,		
<i>L</i> 1	Defendants.		
22			
23		DI . 100 X D	
	It is hereby stipulated, by and between	en Plaintiff Jane Doe and Defendants Uber	
24	Technologies, Inc., Travis Kalanick and Eric Alexander that the above-captioned action be and i		
25	Travis Rulainer and Life Men	reclinologies, inc., Travis Kalanick and Eric Alexander that the above-captioned action be and i	
26	hereby dismissed in its entirety with prejudice.		
27			
28	Doe v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.	Stipulation and Proposed Order Case No. 3:17-cv-03470	

1	Dated: February 5, 2018 San Francisco, CA	Respectfully submitted,
2	San Francisco, CA	WIGDOR LLP
3		
4		By: /s/ Jeanne M. Christensen Jeanne M. Christensen
5		
6		Counsel for Plaintiff
7		O'MELVENY & MEYERS LLP
8		By: /s/ Daniel Bookin
9		Daniel Bookin
10		Counsel for Uber Technologies, Inc.
11		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
12		LLP
13		By: /s/ Walter F. Brown
14		Walter F. Brown
15		Counsel for Travis Kalanick
16		HOGAN LOVELLS LLP
17		
18		By: /s/ Michael J. Sheppard Michael J. Sheppard
19		
20		Counsel for Eric Alexander
21	ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE	
22	I, Jeanne M. Christensen, as the E	CF user and filed of this document, attest pursuant to
23	N.D. Cal. Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3) that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained	
24	from each of the above signatories.	
25	Dated: February 5, 2018	
26	New York, New York	By: /s/ Jeanne M. Christensen
27		JEANNE M. CHRISTENSEN, ESQ.
28	Doe v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.	2 Stipulation and Proposed Order Case No. 3:17-cv-03470

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
JANE DOE,	Case No.: 3:17-cv-03470
Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER
vs.	[I KOI OSED] OKDEK
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., TRAVIS	
KALANICK, in his personal and professional capacities and ERIC ALEXANDER, in his	
personal and professional capacities	
Defendants.	
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT	IS SO ORDERED that the above-ca
action be and is hereby discontinued in its entire	ty with prejudice.
Date: February, 2018	
	Hon. Susan Illston
	United States District Court Judge Northern District of California
Doe v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.	Stipulation and Proposed C Case No. 3:17-cv-0